WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF



RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Director (608) 266-1946

Richard Sweet Assistant Director (608) 266-2982



Terry C. Anderson, Director Legislative Council Staff (608) 266–1304

One E. Main St., Ste. 401 P.O. Box 2536 Madison, WI 53701-2536 FAX: (608) 266-3830

CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00-110

AN ORDER to repeal NR 64.09 (3); and to create NR 19.50, relating to ATV, snowmobile, bowhunter and hunter education fees.

Submitted by **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

07–05–00 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

07–31–00 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RNS:GAA:jal;tlu

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below: STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)] NO 1 YES Comment Attached 2. FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)] Comment Attached 3. CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)] Comment Attached YES 4. ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS [s. 227.15 (2) (e)] Comment Attached YES V CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)] YES Comment Attached POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)] YES Comment Attached COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)] YES Comment Attached

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF

RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Director (608) 266–1946

Richard Sweet Assistant Director (608) 266–2982



Terry C. Anderson Director Legislative Council Staff (608) 266–1304

One E. Main St., Ste. 401 P.O. Box 2536 Madison, WI 53701–2536 FAX: (608) 266–3830

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00–110

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to "Manual" in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Revisor of Statutes Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated September 1998.]

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

a. In s. NR 19.50 (1), "program" in the first sentence should be "programs."

Also, since "atv" is not a defined term, it should be replaced by "all-terrain vehicle."

b. The analysis in the Report to the Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse states that "the proposed rule stems from the budget which transfer . . ." The reference to "transfer" should be replaced by "transfers." Also, the statement is made that the department fees have remained at \$3 per student for several years. This is not correct. Section NR 64.09 (4), which is repealed by Section 3 of the rule, provides that the fee for the all-terrain vehicle safety certification program is \$5 per person. This should be reflected in the analysis.

4. Adequacy of References to Related Statutes, Rules and Forms

- a. Citation is made in the analysis to "s. 227 (11) (a)." What is intended as the cite? Is it s. 227.11 (2) (a)?
- b. No reference is made to s. 350.055, Stats., which is the statutory authority for the department to establish a snowmobile safety certification program.

Report to Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse NR 19.50 and 64.09, Wis. Adm. Code Natural Resources Board Order No. LE-32-00

Wisconsin Statutory Authority

ss. 20.370(3)(at), 23.33(4Z)(a), 29.591(3), 227.11(2)(a) and 350.108(1), Stats., interpreting ss. 23.33(4Z)(a), 23.33(5)(d), 29.563(11)(b)1., 29.591(1) and (3) and 350.108(1), Stats.

Federal Authority

N/A

Court Decisions Directly Relevant

None

Analysis of the Rule - Rule Effect - Reason for the Rule

The proposed rule stems from the budget which transfer authority from state statutes to administrative code to regulate student fees for the ATV education, snowmobile education and hunter education programs. The department fees have remained at \$3.00 per student for several years. The proposed fee is an increase from \$3 to \$10 per student. Of this amount, the volunteer instructors would still be able to retain up to 50% of the student fee to cover incurred expenses. This proposal also standardizes the per student fee.

Agency Procedures for Promulgation

Public hearings, Natural Resources Board final adoption, followed by legislative review.

Description of any Forms (attach copies if available)

None

Name and Telephone Number of Agency Liaisons

Tim Lawhern, Bureau of Law Enforcement – 266-1317 Michael Lutz, Bureau of Legal Services – 267-7456 Carol Turner, Bureau of Legal Services - 266-1959

Submitted on July 5, 2000

NO 15 85

		√ ORI			17		1 .	No./Adm. Rule No.
EICCAI	_ ESTIMATE		RRECTED	UPDATE SUPPLE			NR	19.50
	48 N(R10/94)	e de grande de la companya de la co La companya de la companya de		i to ve e spaci Delegações		A.C. 1994 1994 P.C.	Amendmen	t No. if Applicable
Subject							<u> </u>	
	ees for ATV, Bowhunter, Sn	owmobile, and Hu	unter Education	on				
Fiscal Effe							AS DESIGNATED TO SERVICE	The state of the s
Sta								
	eck columns below only if bill i		ropriation		<u> </u>	Increase Cost	s - May be possil	hle to Absorb
or a	ffects a sum sufficient approp					Within Agency	y's Budget \[\]	Yes No
	Increase Existing Appropria			ing Revenues	_			
닏	Decrease Existing Appropri	ation 📙 D	ecrease Exist	ting Revenues	r La ce public	Decrease Cos		
	Create New Appropriation	green er i de ge					. Jimana - go	
	al: 🔽 No local governmen	t costs						
1. Inc	crease Costs	ı — _	ncrease Reve	enues	5.	Types of Local G	overnment Units	Affected:
L	Permissive Manda	tory [Permissiv	ve Mand		☐ Towns	☐ Villaç	
2. 🔲 De	ecrease Costs	4. 🗍 🖯	Decrease Rev	venues	na mou a Was	☐ Counties		S Districts
	Permissive	tory [Permissiv	re 🔲 Mand	atory	School Distr	ricts 🔲 Othe	rs Calag
Fund Soul	rces Affected	8			Affected Ch	n. 20 Appropriatio	ons	
. ,		RO PRS	SEG [] SEG-S	27 20000 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	20.370	(3)(at)	
Assumption	ons Used in Arriving at Fiscal	Estimate			· Salestina	O AND THE REST	. 04 (82 : .)	0
SUMMAI	RY OF BILL -							
ninimum.	there are approximately 30,0 es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do n	ne department base ot reach 50% of the	ed on a \$10.0 ae student fee	0 per student fee then the remain	der must also b	e returned to the	Department.	
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the	ne department base of reach 50% of the ground to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee or	0 per student fee then the remain oes represent an	ider must also b	proportion of ex	Department.	y student fees.
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the first the course expenses do not increase in funding	ne department base of reach 50% of the ground to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee or	0 per student fee then the remain oes represent an	ider must also b	proportion of ex	Department.	y student fees.
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the first the course expenses do not increase in funding	ne department base of reach 50% of the ground to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee or	0 per student fee then the remain oes represent an	increase in the	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees.
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the first the course expenses do not increase in funding	ne department base of reach 50% of the ground to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee or	0 per student fee then the remain oes represent an	increase in the	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees.
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the general to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee orgrams, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	ider must also be increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the i	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees.
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the ground to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee orgrams, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	ider must also be increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the i	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the general to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 are student fee orgrams, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	ider must also be increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the increase increase in the i	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but de ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by Sometimerease	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the general to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but de ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	Department. Expenses funded by Sometimerease	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but de ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. Expenses funded by s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but de ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but de ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fed ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount under	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but do ced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount under	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount under	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ninimum. This provi	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount under	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
tudent fer ninimum. This provi Spending uthority.	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement a	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety proaccounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 ne student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount under	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ong-Rang	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement and the enforc	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro accounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 he student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ong-Rang	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement and the enforc	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro accounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 he student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ong-Rang	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement and the enforc	ne department base of reach 50% of the g to the safety pro accounts was reduced.	ed on a \$10.0 he student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the	proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ong-Rang	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement and the enforc	education courses	ed on a \$10.0 he student fee ograms, but do ced by an equal at the current	t level only.	increase in the increase in the increase in the	e returned to the proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure
ong-Rang	es collected are returned to the If the course expenses do not increase in funding authority in the enforcement and the enforc	education courses	ed on a \$10.0 he student fee ograms, but deced by an equ	0 per student feet then the remain oes represent an ual amount unde	increase in the increase in the increase in the	e returned to the proportion of ex	e Department. spenses funded b s no net increase	y student fees. in expenditure

1999 Session

ORDER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN NATURAL RESOURCES BOARD REPEALING AND CREATING RULES

The Natural Resources Board proposes an order to repeal NR 64.09(3); and to create NR 19.50 relating to ATV, snowmobile, bowhunter and hunter education fees.

		- 32-00		
	Analysis Prepared by De	partment of Natural Resources		
	Osmonia (II)			
Statutory authority:		4Z) (a), 29.591 (3), 227(11)(a), and 5)(d), 29.563 (11)(b) 1., 29.591(1), 2		
* .	350.108(1), Stats.		BARGE COMMON DE ROMA	
	Compared to the second of the)	- JG 0. 0.	on on the ED
The Department of Nat	tural Resources has been dir	ected by new legislative changes to a and Bowhunter Education. The Deputer rules to regulate the student fees	partment is directed	
Section 1. NR 19.50	is created to read:			
		nter, snowmobile and hunter edu		
fee to defray expense the instructor. The re- incurred to operate t	es incurred locally to oper emaining funds shall be to hese programs during the 9 (3) is repealed.		ay expenses	the control of the co
The foregoi Resources Board on	ng rule was approved and	adopted by the State of Wiscons	in Natural	
The rule shal administrative register	I take effect on the first day r as provided in s. 227.22(2)	of the month following publication (intro.), Stats.	in the Wisconsin	
Dated at Ma	dison, Wisconsin			
• • • •		STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURA	L RESOURCES	
		Ву		
- 		George E. Meyer, Sec	retary	
(SEAL)				